



Consulting Analytical Chemists and Geochemists

## COMPARISON OF MARGIN OF ERROR IN SUB-SAMPLE MASS

Allan Fraser

April 2020

Application Note: 31

The margin of error ( $E$ ) in sampling and any subsequent sub-sampling can be calculated as:

$$E = z_c \sqrt{\frac{\hat{P}(1 - \hat{P})}{n}} \quad [1]$$

Where,  $z_c$  is the critical value for a 95% level of confidence,  $\hat{P}$  is the ratio of the mass of sub-sample and the bulk sample mass and  $n$  is the bulk sample mass.  $E$  is reported as a % by multiplication by 100.

Example:

A 1500 g sample is split into 10 approximately equal 150 g sub-samples using a 10-way rotary splitter. What is the margin of error in a 150 g sub-sample from this splitting event? A separate mass of 5000 g is split using the same rotary splitter into 10 approximately 500 g equal sub-samples. What is the percentage increase in reliability of the larger sample, i.e., in going from using a 150 g to a 500 g sub-sample?

The margin of error ( $E$ ) at a 95% level of confidence for a 150 g sub-sample split out from a 1500 g bulk sample is calculated using equation [1]:

$$E = 1.96 \sqrt{\frac{\frac{150}{1500} \left(1 - \frac{150}{1500}\right)}{1500}}$$

$$E = 1.96 \sqrt{0.00066}$$

$$E = 0.050$$

$$E = 5\%$$

Therefore, the margin of error in taking a 150 g sub-sample from a 1500 g bulk sample is 5%. For a 500 g sample from a 5000 g bulk sample the margin of error is 3%:

$$E = 1.96 \sqrt{\frac{\frac{500}{5000} \left(1 - \frac{500}{5000}\right)}{5000}}$$

$$E = 1.96 \sqrt{0.00018}$$

$$E = 0.027$$

$$E = 3\%$$

The percentage increase in reliability of a 500 g sub-sample over a 150 g sub-sample from 5000 g and 1500 g, respectively is:

$$\frac{5\% - 3\%}{3\%} \times 100$$

$$67\% \text{ or } \sim 70\%$$

How to cite this document:

Fraser A.W. (2020). Comparison of Margin of Error in Sample Mass. Application note 31. 3 pages. [www.allanfraserandassociates.co.za](http://www.allanfraserandassociates.co.za)