



Mean Differences and Inclusion of Uncertainty

Application Note 6

Allan Fraser

If mean differences are to be assessed then it is necessary to consider the difference in the context of the uncertainties of the values being compared. According to Barwick & Pritchard (2011) and Carr, 2011 differences between means is assessed using [1]:

$$(A - B)^2 < (uA)^2 + (uB)^2 \quad [1]$$

Where, A and B are two different laboratory means for the same analyte and sample, uA is the standard uncertainty of the mean of laboratory A and uB the mean of laboratory B. The standard uncertainties are calculated from the expanded uncertainties for the determination of the analyte by the respective laboratories. The mean results of Laboratory A and B are *in agreement* if the left side term is $<$ the right hand term.

Moreover, the Eurachem “*Terminology in Analytical Measurement, Introduction to International Vocabulary in Metrology*” (2011), states that the standard measurement

uncertainty of the difference between two measurement results is the root mean squares of the standard uncertainties of the two means:

$$u_d = \sqrt{u_1^2 + u_2^2} \quad [2]$$

If the difference between the two mean values, $d < ku_d$ where k is a coverage factor, then the two means are considered to be *meteorologically compatible* (Barwick & Pritchard, 2011).

Example:

Laboratory A reports a mean of 86.78% and Laboratory B reports a mean of 86.82%.

Through method validation, the laboratories have estimated their expanded uncertainty (U) at 95% as $\pm 0.5\%$ and $\pm 0.7\%$, respectively.

The U needs to be converted to u , or standard uncertainty for each laboratory:

$$\text{Laboratory A: } u = \frac{U}{2} = \frac{0.5}{2} = 0.25$$

$$\text{Laboratory B: } u = \frac{U}{2} = \frac{0.7}{2} = 0.35$$

Substituting into [1]:

$$(86.82 - 86.78)^2 \leq (0.25)^2 + (0.35)^2$$

$$(0.04000)^2 \leq (0.0625) + (0.1225)$$

$$(0.04000)^2 \leq 0.185$$

$$0.0016 \leq 0.185$$

Since

$$(A - B)^2 < (uA)^2 + (uB)^2$$

The two means are shown to be in agreement.

References:

Barwick V.J., Pritchard E. (Eds). (2011). Eurachem Guide; Terminology in Analytical Measurement – Introduction to VIM 3 (2011). ISBN 978-0-948926-29-7.

Carr R.H. (2011). Estimating errors using graphs and taking good data. California State University Los Angeles. March 2011. 14.

<http://web.calstatela.edu/faculty/kaniol/Err-Gph-Meas-IBooklet.pdf>. Retrieved: 29 August, 2016.